

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF _____
JUVENILE COURT

STATE OF WASHINGTON v.

D.O.B.:

Respondent(s).

NO:

**HEARING, FINDINGS AND
CONCLUSIONS ON DECLINE OF
JURISDICTION
(FNFL)**

I. HEARING

- 1.1 An information was filed charging the respondent with the offense(s) of: _____
_____.
- 1.2 On _____ (date), a hearing was held to determine whether Juvenile Court jurisdiction in this case should be declined.
- 1.3 Persons appearing at the hearing were:
 Respondent Probation Officer
 Prosecutor Respondent's Attorney
 Parent(s) Other(s) _____
- 1.4 Testimony was taken.

II. FINDINGS

Based on the testimony of witnesses, the exhibits, the arguments of counsel and the case record to date, the court finds:

- 2.1 The respondent was born on _____ (date), and was _____ years of age at the time of this hearing.
- 2.2 Evidence does does not exist to establish reason to believe that the respondent committed the offense(s) of: _____
on _____, which finding is based on: _____

2.3 The protection of the community requires does not require that Juvenile Court jurisdiction over the respondent be declined in favor of adult prosecution because:

2.4 The offense(s) of which the respondent is accused was/were was/were not committed in a manner requiring that Juvenile Court jurisdiction over the respondent be declined in favor of adult prosecution because:

2.5 The offense(s) of which the respondent is accused require(s) do(es) not require(s) trial and disposition within the single jurisdiction of the adult criminal court because:

2.6 The respondent manifests does not manifest a sophistication and maturity requiring that the Juvenile Court jurisdiction be declined in favor of adult prosecution, which finding is based upon:

2.7 The record and history of the respondent indicate that he/she has has not exhausted the rehabilitative resources of the juvenile system in that:

2.8 Other:

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the findings of fact, the court concludes:

- 3.1 The above-captioned court has jurisdiction of the subject matter and of the respondent.
- 3.2 Declining Juvenile Court jurisdiction over the respondent is is not in the best interest of the public.
- 3.3 An order permanently declining Juvenile Court jurisdiction and transferring the respondent for adult criminal prosecution should should not be entered.

Dated: _____

JUDGE/COMMISSIONER

Presented by:

Signature

Type or Print Name/Title/Bar Number